# Add T-TC to Equipment and Verify behavior with PDV test-cases

Willem van den Bosch





- ToD distribution through the Network
- IEEE1588 Transparent Clock characteristics
- Differences between TC and BC
- Transparent Clock measurement setup G.8261 test cases
- Transparent Clock measurement results G.8261 test cases
- Transparent Clock measurement over Microwave link (A1 Telekom)
- Conclusion

• Network with IEEE1588 unaware Network Elements (NE's)





- Network with IEEE1588 unaware Network Elements (NE's)
- The <u>Packet Delay Variation in each NE prohibids proper Time of Day</u> synchronization between T-GM and T-TSC



Network with Boundary Clocks => NE's are ToD synchronized



- Network with Transparent Clocks => NE's are not synchronized
  - Method used in AVB (IEEE802.1AS)
  - Transparent for synchronization direction \_



Network with Boundary Clocks => NE's are ToD synchronized



- Network with Transparent Clock => NE's are not synchronized
  - Method used in AVB (IEEE802.1AS)
  - Transparent for synchronization direction \_



#### Multiple ToD streams through the Network

Multiple ToD streams require multiple Boundary Clocks per NE



One TC can support multiple Operator ToD streams (only measures "residence time" of each packet)



#### **Transparent Clock Basic function**

- Transparent Clock measures the time it takes for an 1588 event meassage to travel through a Router or Switch
- This is called "residence time" which can be different for each packet
- "Residence time" is added to the correction field of an event message
- Transparent for the direction of the Sync and Delay\_Req messages



#### **Differences between T-BC and T-TC**

Boundary Clock	Transparent Clock
BC terminates and synchronizes to the PTP flow received at a slave port. BC generates a new PTP flow based on its own ToD towards all master ports.	Measures "residence time" of each Sync or Delay_Req packet (PTP event packets) and adds this value to the Correction- field of the same PTP message.
BC requires provisioning to determine the synchronization direction in the network (master, slave or passive port).	TC is "synchronization direction" independent, no provisioning required
Every impairment of the network topology can result in a different synchronization direction.	TC is "transparent" for network impairments which change the synchronization direction.
BC requires multiple HW instances to support more than 1 domain (multi operator ToD)	TC is "transparent" for domains and can support multiple operator ToD streams.
BC has filtering (PLL) function with associated lock-time and requires a very stable internal clock which relates to the low filtering bandwidth.	No PLL function, no lock time. Clock accuracy of $\pm$ 4.6 ppm is sufficient and gives a maximum error of 4.6ns over a measured millisecond "residence time".





## Network with "partial" IEEE1588 support

• Two intermediate Network Elements (NE's) do not support IEEE1588



 Proper Time of Day synchronization between T-GM and T-TSC can be established by "upgrading" the two intermediate notes with a BC or a TC function.



### TC and BC in the same Network

- BC and TC can be combined in the same network
- TC is a good option to improve "partial support of the network"



- With ideal TC's => connection in between BC's behaves like a wire.
- If SyncE is used in the network a TC can measure the residence time of event messages very accurately with the frequency of the T-GM.

#### Add Transparent Clock to a NE via Smart SFPs

- Network Element must provide:
  - 1. Common frequency towards all Ethernet output ports
  - 2. Ethernet Virtual Connection (EVC) through NE to allow SFP communication



#### **Transparent Clock Test Setup (1)** Test equipment generates latency Sync 1588 1588 latency Delay\_Req Sync and Delay\_Req Latency minus master : slave messages to perform Correction Field Transparent Clock tests Tx Delay Reg Tx Sync and **Rx Delay Req** and Rx Sync Forward Disturbance Traffic (G.8261 appendix VI) Ethernet switch With IEEE1588 Transparent Clock **Backward Disturbance Traffic** (G.8261 appendix VI)

#### **Transparent Clock Test Setup (2)** Test equipment generates latency Sync 1588 1588 latency Delay\_Req Sync and Delay\_Req Latency minus master slave messages to perform Correction Field Transparent Clock tests Tx Sync and Tx Delay Req Rx Delay Req and Rx Sync ···Test equipment adds PDV onto Sync and Delay\_Req messages According test-cases 12 to 17 of G.8261 appendix VI





#### **G.8261 - testcase 12 - PDV after correction**

For each Sync message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

105 us --> 20 ns !

For each Delay\_Req message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

61 us --> 20 ns !





**AimValley** 18 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### **G.8261 - testcase 13 - PDV after correction**

For each Sync message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

106 us --> 20 ns !

For each Delay\_Req message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

92 us --> 20 ns !





AimValley 20 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### **G.8261 - testcase 14 - PDV after correction**

For each Sync message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

108 us --> 20 ns !

For each Delay\_Req message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

95 us --> 20 ns !



**AimValley** 21 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases



**AimValley** 22 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### **G.8261 - testcase 15 - PDV after correction**

For each Sync message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

83 us --> 20 ns !

For each Delay\_Req message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

64 us --> 20 ns !





**AimValley** 24 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### **G.8261 - testcase 16 - PDV after correction**

For each Sync message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

107 us --> 20 ns !

For each Delay\_Req message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

106 us --> 20 ns !

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

**AimValley** 26 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### **G.8261 - testcase 17 - PDV after correction**

For each Sync message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

277 us --> 20 ns !

For each Delay\_Req message the PDV after correction is: "measured PDV"- "residence time"

268 us --> 20 ns !

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

**AimValley** 27 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### Microwave test setup - A1 Telekom Austria

- Slides presented at ITSF by Joachim Atzwanger, A1 Telekom Austria.
- For test details contact joachim.atzwanger@a1telekom.at

## Test Setup in Lab:

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Microwave test loads - A1 Telekom Austria

### Traffic Loads:

Microwave system: Capacity: 180Mbit symmetrical N2X: Framesizes: 66 – 1518 Bytes both directions, P = Priority, Capacity in Mbit; Upload 170Mbit, Download capacity see table.

	Р	Mbit	MBit	Р	Mbit	Mbit
Vlan 900	4	80	140	4	80	80 - 140
Vlan 910	5	90	90	5	90	90
Vlan 1500 PTP Traffic	6			6		
Vlan 1588 Communication						
between SFP1 and 2	4			6		
Microwave overload		no	yes		no	yes
TC clock accuracy		ОК	NOK		ОК	OK
PDV Results Figure Nr		1	2		3	4

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

5

#### Microwave test figure4 - A1 Telekom Austria

# Figure 4: PDV without CF; Overload 80–40Mbit in Priority 4

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

TC SFP Communication and Traffic in different priority classes.

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

06/11/14

13

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Microwave test figure4 - A1 Telekom Austria

#### Figure 4: PDV with CF Overload 80–140Mbit with P 4

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

06/11/14

14

**AimValley** 31 | Add T-TC to equipment and verify with PDV testcases

#### Microwave test conclusion - A1 Telekom Austria

## TC SFP Results

- Synchronisation is robust between the TC SFP's.
  if corresponding Vlan TC SFP' are in a most significant priority class (6)
- ✓ Overload in priority class 4 does not distroy the synchronisation between the SFP's
- ✓ Transparent clock accuracy OK
- ✓ Compensation of asymmetry OK

#### The TC SFP is an interesting and useful development

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

17

![](_page_31_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **Conclusion / take aways**

- IEEE1588 Transparent Clock measurements show a PDV error  $\leq$  20ns which equals the performance of a Boundary Clock.
- Transparent Clock is "transparent" for the synchronization direction and does not require changes upon a network protection switch.
- T-TC function can support multi-operator ToD distribution streams.
- T-TC function can be added to already deployed Routers and switches by means of a Smart SFP.

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Thank you

Willem van den Bosch wvdbosch@aimvalley.nl

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)