UTC(NIST) via Two-Way Satellite Service

Roger Brown (<u>roger.brown@nist.gov</u>) Jeff A. Sherman (jeff.sherman@nist.gov) Time Realization and Distribution group NIST Time and Frequency division

Prepared for: Workshop on Synchronization and Timing Systems May 12, 2022

Key project/topic collaborators:

Tom Parker Judah Levine Michael Lombardi Liz Donley Victor Zhang

(tom.parker@nist.gov) (judah.levine@nist.gov) (group leader; michael.lombardi@nist.gov) (division chief; <u>elizabeth.donley@nist.gov</u>) (recently retired)

Short summary

NIST preparing to offer UTC(NIST) via two-way satellite time/frequency transfer (TWSTFT)

Link stability ~ 1 ns Inaccuracy \leq 15 ns, depending on method of initial calibration

"Special test" profile:

Available late CY 2022 Subject to several technical limitations

"Service" profile:

Available late CY 2023, demand-dependent Dedicated Earth station, satellite bandwidth

Fees:

NIST services must recover all costs Anticipate \$4k to \$6k/site/mo.





UTC(NIST) as a backup to GPS/GNSS timing



Strengthening National Resilience Through Responsible Use of Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Services

A Presidential Document by the Executive Office of the President on 02/18/2020

EO 13905 directs NIST to:

operators, for the public and private sectors to access."



Presidential Document

• •



"...make available a GNSS-independent source of UTC, to support the needs of critical infrastructure owners and

NIST's responses to the EO

NIST Technical Note 2187

A Resilient Architecture for the **Realization and Distribution of Coordinated Universal Time to Critical** Infrastructure Systems in the United States

Methodologies and Recommendations from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

> Jeffrey A. Sherman Ladan Arissian Roger C. Brown Matthew J. Deutch Elizabeth A. Donley Vladislav Gerginov Judah Levine Glenn K. Nelson Andrew N. Novick Bijunath R. Patla Thomas E. Parker Benjamin K. Stuhl Douglas D. Sutton Jian Yao William C. Yates Victor Zhang Michael A. Lombardi

This publication is available free of charge from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.TN.2187



Second report now publicly available:

https://tf.nist.gov/general/pdf/3140.pdf

https://tf.nist.gov/general/pdf/3141.pdf

Operation/resiliency of UTC(NIST) described in new detail

NIST time over fiber-optic links



Time over Fiber Special Tests (78100S, 78110S) contact: Prof. Judah Levine (judah.levine@nist.gov)

Low-earth orbit (LEO) and terrestrial non-NIST sources



CRADAs with two LEO network developers CRADA with terrestrial common-view developer Discussions with a terrestrial-PNT developer contact: Mike Lombardi (<u>michael.lombardi@nist.gov</u>)

NIST two-way satellite time/frequency transfer (TWSTFT)



Proposed new services Discussions with two potential long-term customers













Reminder: why isn't UTC enough?

- 1. UTC does not exist *anywhere* in real time.
- 2. Legal traceability sometimes requires use of a nation's realization UTC(k).



How is UTC(NIST) made?





small rate adjustments (± 3 ns/month)





Recent performance of UTC(NIST)







Physical basis of TWSTFT

43rd Annual Symposium on Frequency Control - 1989 FUNDAMENTALS OF TWO-WAY TIME TRANSFERS BY SATELLITE*

D. W. Hanson Time and Frequency Division National Institute of Standards and Technology 325 Broadway Boulder, Colorado 80303



https://tf.nist.gov/general/pdf/836.pdf

High rate pseudo-random noise (PRN) code coherently locked to local time reference

... even in this early work: 0.1 ns stability (90% C.I.) @ 30 s avg.

... technique exploits a high degree of delay symmetry A -> B vs. B -> A

... e.g. negligible differential dispersion in ionosphere; ~zero elsewhere

... Sagnac effect (due to rotation of Earth) is a significant East/West asymmetry (~0.1 µs) but is calculable and highly stable.

Modern modems exchange measurement data over the link, enabling instantaneous readout of A-B at both ends.



Two-way satellite transfer (TWSTFT) of UTC(NIST)











Existing TW satellite links at NIST-Boulder



TIM, CH, SP, OP, VSL, OCA, PTB, IT, ROA, UME NPL, IPQ, AOS, TUG (currently not TX to US)

PTF1, PTF2 (Galileo monitoring; TX to USNO only)

A three-cornered hat analysis of instabilities in two-way and GPS carrier phase time transfer systems



ITW: NIST01 to USNO via PTB (Ku-band transatlantic) SATRE modem (1 Mc/s)

DTW: NIST02 to USNO (Ku-band transatlantic) SATRE modem (2.5 Mc/s)

GPS processed three ways: RRS-r TAIPPP IPPP

> T. E. Parker, V. Zhang, G. Petit, J. Yao, R. C. Brown, and J. L. Hanssen accepted Metrologia

0.2 2 0.0



Review

- 1. UTC(NIST) is an independent atomic timescale
 - TDEV ~ 1 ns @ 1 month average
 - Several built-in redundancies
 - NIST seeks to increase availability $\leq 1 \ \mu s$ (e.g. Time over Fiber Special Tests)

2. NIST preparing to offer UTC(NIST) via two-way satellite time/frequency transfer (TWSTFT)

- Stability ~ 1 ns
- Inaccuracy ≤ 15 ns (by initial calibration)
- "Special test": late CY22 with limitations
- "Service": late CY23 subject to demand
- Anticipate \$4k-\$6k/site/mo.

